Brooke, Sheryl Wooldredge, William < From: Monday, August 26, 2019 4:31 PM Sent: Sue Carter To: Nicole Kowalski; Liz Murphy; George Roth; Deb&Tom; Bill Currin; Bob Bellin; Bob Drew; Cc: Hal DeSaussure; Jim Cowan; Joe Creehan; Laura Jones; Michael Coburn Re: Phase II Planning Commission meeting Subject: Maybe she is using the Owen Brown address as it has "standing" with the project. Sent from my iPhone On Aug 26, 2019, at 4:13 PM, Sue Carter < Here's the address info from the county. ---LISTER---HU0031903009001 OWNERFLAGG CHARLES W CO TRUSTEE & FLAGG JILL A CO TRUSTEE88401-JAN-20 OWNERVAC/ABAND: **RENTAL REG:N/A** ADDR. DESC. And for Jesse... ---LISTER---HU0036303003000 OWNEROBERT JESSICA L & OBERT KENNETH J 88401-JAN-20 **OWNERVAC/ABAND: RENTAL REG:N/A** ADDR. DESC. From: Nicole Kowalski < Sent: Monday, August 26, 2019 2:03 PM To: Liz Murphy < >; Bill Wooldredge >; Deb&Tom < Cc: George Roth

Subject: Re: Phase II Planning Commission meeting

Drew <

Do we know the details behind all three challenges?

>; Bill Currin <

i>; Hal DeSaussure <

>; Michael Coburn <

>; Joe Creehan <

And has anyone formally submitted a letter challenging her challenge on the grounds that she is not listing the correct address?

h>; Bob Bellin <

>; Jim Cowan

>; Laura Jones

>; Sue Carter

I know it's rumored in the community that she is set to inherit that house, does she possibly already own it even though her parent's live there? I wonder if they may have put the house in her name already.

That was always one of the two reasons people think Jessie started this, she doesn't want traffic on her future street, and she wants to have a piece of the realty pie.

On Mon, Aug 26, 2019 at 1:42 PM Liz Murphy Kate has also been challenged. Via Jesse Obert, who in her letter claims to live at her parents address on Owen Brown. On Mon, Aug 26, 2019 at 12:58 PM George Roth > wrote: I'm laying low until after Thursday. Worhatch, via Jessie Obert in my case, has challenged 3 of us running for office. I don't know who the other two are. George > wrote: On Aug 26, 2019 12:31 PM, Deb&Tom < We're getting 3/4's of the loaf. Not bad. Tom King On Mon, Aug 26, 2019 at 11:10 AM Wooldredge, William < Bob, we did not cut back the residential as much as you stated. There are 20 condominiums to be placed in block c which will come along later. Bill Sent from my iPhone On Aug 26, 2019, at 10:59 AM, Bob Bellin <

I have too much going on in getting ready to move on Saturday to go, but I do want to offer this sobering thought. The new proposal cuts the number of residential units down by half, which, if you expect the angry mob to kill the additional 20 units when proposed, makes what you see what you get based on what's being proposed tonight. This is a shadow of former proposals and even so, will face the wrath, opposition and questionable tactics of the Bigham Brigade, who have vowed to kill it.

I'm not sure to what, but I wonder if some sort of regroup might be in the best interest of phase 2 actually happening in a way that even remotely reflects the original concept and plan. I don't really know what I'm saying here, but do we actually have anything left that resembles what we fought so hard for?

Just speaking for me, but I wish council had decided on a more incremental approach to scaling the project down. I recognize that I have not made any constructive suggestions here and I wouldn't be offended if this email was written off as the result of breathing too much basement dust while packing.

Bob

Bob Bellin

On Mon, Aug 26, 2019 at 10:19 AM Joe Creehan < wrote:

Team

I am unfortunately in the hospital and can not attend tonight's meeting.

We need you to show up and say words of approval to move the project forward. Focus on the extensive Comprehensive Plan which started in 1994 and continued through2015 with very specific Phase II plans. The plan had several workshops from multiple community groups. The Original Plan was given to developers who then presented their plan for multiple community reviews, which resulted In 43 modifications. The advisory vote brought out the opposition by over 70% of Hudson did not vote. Even though the opposition lead the vote by a small 176 votes. City council and the developer made additional modifications to reduce density.

This plan was unanimously approved by the School District, was unanimously approved by Chamber, was approved by council (with only one no vote). It was approved by the Murdough's and the Fairmont Companies. Finally is was approved by the current and Former mayor as well as ex councilman and several civic leaders. This is the right plan and it should no longer be delayed by the small opposition group with their many unfounded ideas.

This will invigorate Downtown with beautiful neighborhoods greatly needed to address the existing and future demographics. The whole community will benefit by a improved economy and places to go and enjoy a strong downtown Hudson. Let's not let John Ong finance this small opposition to delay this project any further

Please use these words and or speak you own words. I'd like to see multiple people (all of you and more) step up an talk. Even if the only words are "I fully support the current Plan".

Thanks Joe

I've had a kidney stone pass which also cause a digestive issue in my stomach and their pumping my stomach to hopefully restart the bowels. I'm doin okay but it surely was bad timing

Thanks Again Joe

Sent from my iPhone

Total Control Panel

To: ______

Remove this sender from my allow list